	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/772,702	HARTSELL, CLIFF
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>owed</u>
(1) <u>Andrea M. Valenti</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Christopher L. Makay</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 May 2006	Time: <u>12:15pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Examiner contacted applicant to receive approval to correct a typ July 2005. The first sentence of page 2, line 1-7 of the specificat	ographical error in the amendme	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Applicant)	(Applicant's Pontocontestine Ci	anatura if anaturai de
(Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – ir appropriatė)